UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	v.	OA	DER OF DETERMION FENDING TRIAL	
	Gabriel Rodriguez-Rodriguez	Case Number:	11-6529M	
present and w			ng was held on October 31, 2011. Defendant was evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		FINDINGS OF FACT		
	ponderance of the evidence that:			
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the char	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deporte or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum o	f	years imprisonment.	
at the time of t	the hearing in this matter, except as note	ed in the record. ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION	ant will flee. ons will reasonably assui ∙NS REGARDING DETE	re the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections fa appeal. The d of the United S defendant to the	acility separate, to the extent practicable, efendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the he United States Marshal for the purpos APPEALS	from persons awaiting or opportunity for private cor Government, the person e of an appearance in co AND THIRD PARTY RE	LEASE	
deliver a copy Court.	of the motion for review/reconsideration	to Pretrial Services at leas	ith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to st one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court in the country of the	
Services suffice	ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be cons the District Court to allow	idered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria v Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DAT	ED this 1 st day of November, 2	011.		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge